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#### Abstract

A new method for the selective determination of aromatic amines is presented, which is based on the solid-phase extraction at pH 9 and subsequent derivatization of the analytes to the corresponding iodobenzenes. These can selectively and sensitively be determined with gas chromatography and electron-capture detection. Separation of at least 30 compounds in a single chromatographic run in 30 min is possible. With this method, 56 aromatic amines were investigated, and only in six cases no derivatives were obtained. Limits of quantitation were between 0.5 and $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} 1^{-1}$, but may still be lowered with higher sample volumes or different injection techniques. The application to water samples revealed the suitability for the investigation of ground, leachate and wastewater. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Aromatic amines are used in the chemical industry in high amounts for the production of dye stuffs, pesticides, plastics and pharmaceuticals [1]. The high consumption of aromatic amines in industrial processes leads to a release into the aquatic environment. An additional source for aromatic amines in the environment is the abiotic and biotic degradation of nitroaromatic compounds [2,3], azo dyes $[4,5]$, several classes of pesticides [6-8] and possibly polyurethanes [9]. Aromatic amines are in general

[^0]more polar than their precursors and thus have a higher solubility in water, which is responsible for the high mobility of aromatic amines in aquifers. Because of their widespread distribution and their mobility it does not surprise that a wide range of aromatic amines has been found in environmental matrices by several investigators, for example in river water $[10-16]$ and ammunition wastewater [17-28].

An additional property of aromatic amines is their high acute and, maybe more important, chronic toxicity [29,30]. Many aromatic amines that were studied for their long-term toxicity in bioassays were found to be carcinogenic. For some of these com-
pounds this is even well known for human beings. The widespread use and the harmfulness of aromatic amines make their analysis in environmental matrices important.

Because of their polarity, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is mostly used for the direct analysis of aromatic amines. The problem of HPLC is the rather low peak capacity. Therefore it is rather difficult to analyze a large number of analytes in one chromatographic run. Besides, the universal UV detector often lacks sensitivity, especially when analyzing real samples for which wavelengths below 230 nm often cannot be used for quantitation due to matrix interferences. Gas chromatography (GC) usually requires derivatization of the analytes [31]. Although it is possible to minimize the problems of peak tailing due to interactions with active sites in the injector or the column, it is rather tedious to maintain good peak shapes. Some aromatic amines cannot be separated at all by GC. Derivatization is usually done by perfluoracylation of the amines [8,32-36], and the derivatives can be detected by electron-capture detection (ECD) or mass spectrometry (MS). However, many of the reagents in use for perfluoracylation need a strictly anhydrous medium.

The objective of our work was to develop a generally applicable analytical method for the sensitive determination of aromatic amines in water. Therefore, we wanted to develop an alternative derivatization method for aromatic amines which is not restricted to anhydrous media and comparable or even superior in terms of ECD sensitivity. One way to increase the sensitivity of ECD is to introduce heavier halogens instead of fluorine into the molecules because the detector response increases in the order $\mathrm{F}<\mathrm{Cl}<\mathrm{Br}<\mathrm{I}$ [37]. In former studies in our group we already investigated the usefulness of halogenation for the analysis of methyl anilines in ammunition wastewater [26,27]. Since the iodination via a Sandmeyer-like reaction appeared especially promising, we decided to study this derivatization method thoroughly for a wide range of aromatic amines. In this part of our contribution, the derivatization reaction, calibration and analysis of real samples is described. In a Part II [38], the developed solid-phase extraction (SPE) method is described in detail.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Samples

Real water samples were taken from the drain of a waste disposal site and wells at the former ammunition plant Stadtallendorf, Hessen, Germany. The samples were stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in brown glass bottles and analyzed within four weeks. No differences in results were observed when determining the contents of aromatic amines after this period of time.

### 2.2. Chemicals and reagents

Reference substances were obtained from various suppliers [Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany), Fluka (Neu-Ulm, Germany), Merck (Darmstadt, Germany), Promochem (Wesel, Germany), Mallinckrodt-Baker (Griesheim, Germany) and Riedel-de Haën (Seelze, Germany)] in the highest purity available. The compounds, their CAS numbers and the abbreviations used throughout the text are given in Table 1.

Pentane and sodium nitrite were purchased from Riedel-de Haën, hydriodic acid (ACS reagent, unstabilized, 55\%), hydrobromic acid (ACS reagent, $45 \%$ ) and amidosulfonic acid from Aldrich, hydrochloric acid, sodium sulphite, sodium hydroxide, phosphoric acid, potassium iodide and iodine from Merck, all in the highest purity available.

### 2.3. Sample preparation and enrichment procedure

Optimization of the solid-phase extraction method is described in detail in Part II [38] of this communication. Immediately before measurements, the samples were adjusted to about pH 9 with a concentrated sodium hydroxide solution ( $10 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ in water). If necessary, the sample was filtered through $0.45-\mu \mathrm{m}$ cellulose nitrate membrane filters (Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany) prior to the enrichment with a polystyrene-divinylbenzene [HR-P-phase from Macherey-Nagel (Düren, Germany)].

Prefilled 3-ml polypropylene cartridges with 200 mg of the solid phase, which was kept between two polyethylene frits, were used for all extractions. The solid phase was conditioned two times with 1 ml of methanol, followed by two times 1 ml of acetonitrile, and washed two times with 1 ml of distilled water,

Table 1
Aromatic amines used in this study with abbreviations, CAS Nos. and suppliers

| Compound | Abbreviation | CAS No. | Supplier |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-Aminotoluene | 2AT | 95-53-4 | Merck |
| 3-Aminotoluene | 3AT | 108-44-1 | Fluka |
| 4-Aminotoluene | 4AT | 106-49-0 | Fluka |
| 2,3-Diaminotoluene | 2,3DAT | 2687-25-4 | Aldrich |
| 2,4-Diaminotoluene | 2,4DAT | 95-80-7 | Aldrich |
| 2,6-Diaminotoluene | 2,6DAT | 823-40-5 | Aldrich |
| 3,4-Diaminotoluene | 3,4DAT | 496-72-0 | Promochem |
| 2-Amino-3-nitrotoluene | 2A3NT | 570-24-1 | Riedel-de Haën |
| 2-Amino-4-nitrotoluene | 2A4NT | 99-55-8 | Promochem |
| 2-Amino-5-nitrotoluene | 2A5NT | 99-52-5 | Riedel-de Haën |
| 2-Amino-6-nitrotoluene | 2A6NT | 603-83-8 | Aldrich |
| 4-Amino-2-nitrotoluene | 4A2NT | 119-32-4 | Aldrich |
| 2-Amino-4,6-dinitrotoluene | 2A4,6DNT | 35572-78-2 | Promochem |
| 4-Amino-2,6-dinitrotoluene | 4A2,6DNT | 19406-51-0 | Promochem |
| 2,4-Diamino-6-nitrotoluene | 2,4DA6NT | 6629-29-4 | Promochem |
| 2,6-Diamino-4-nitrotoluene | 2,6DA4NT | 59229-75-3 | Synthesized in our group |
| Aniline | , | 62-53-3 | Aldrich |
| 1,2-Phenylendiamine | 1,2PDA | 95-54-5 | Merck |
| 1,3-Phenylendiamine | 1,3PDA | 108-45-2 | Aldrich |
| 1,4-Phenylendiamine | 1,4PDA | 106-50-3 | Aldrich |
| 2-Nitroaniline | 2NA | 88-74-4 | Baker |
| 3-Nitroaniline | 3NA | 99-09-2 | Baker |
| 4-Nitroaniline | 4NA | 100-01-6 | Baker |
| 2,4-Dinitroaniline | 2,4DNA | 97-02-9 | Aldrich |
| 2,5-Dinitroaniline | 2,5DNA | 619-18-1 | Aldrich |
| 2,6-Dinitroaniline | 2,6DNA | 606-22-4 | Fluka |
| 3,5-Dinitroaniline | 3,5DNA | 618-87-1 | Riedel-de Haën |
| $o$-Anisidine | 2 MOA | 90-04-0 | Aldrich |
| $m$-Anisidine | 3 MOA | 536-90-3 | Aldrich |
| $p$-Anisidine | 4MOA | 104-94-9 | Aldrich |
| 2,3-Dimethylaniline | 2,3DMA | 87-59-2 | Aldrich |
| 2,4-Dimethylaniline | 2,4DMA | 95-68-1 | Aldrich |
| 2,5-Dimethylaniline | 2,5DMA | 95-78-3 | Aldrich |
| 2,6-Dimethylaniline | 2,6DMA | 87-62-7 | Aldrich |
| 3,4-Dimethylaniline | 3,4DMA | 95-64-7 | Aldrich |
| 3,5-Dimethylaniline | 3,5DMA | 108-69-0 | Aldrich |
| $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-Dimethylaniline | N,NDMA | 121-69-7 | Aldrich |
| Diphenylamine | DPA | 122-39-4 | Aldrich |
| 1-Naphthylamine | 1NPA | 134-32-7 | Merck |
| 2-Naphthylamine | 2NPA | 91-59-8 | Aldrich |
| Benzidine | - | 92-87-5 | Fluka |
| 2-Aminobiphenyl | 2ABP | 90-41-5 | Aldrich |
| 4-Aminobiphenyl | 4ABP | 92-67-1 | Aldrich |
| 4-Isopropylaniline | 4IPA | 99-88-7 | Aldrich |
| 2,6-Diethylaniline | 2,6DEA | 579-66-8 | Aldrich |
| 2-Ethyl-6-methylaniline | 2E6MA | 24549-06-2 | Aldrich |
| 4-Chloro- $N$-methylaniline | 4CNMA | 932-96-7 | Aldrich |
| 2-Chloroaniline | 2CA | 95-51-2 | Riedel-de Haën |
| 3-Chloroaniline | 3CA | 108-42-9 | Riedel-de Haën |
| 4-Chloroaniline | 4CA | 106-47-8 | Riedel-de Haën |
| 3,4-Dichloroaniline | 3,4DCA | 95-76-1 | Riedel-de Haën |
| 4-Chloro-2-methylaniline | 4C2MA | 95-69-2 | Riedel-de Haën |
| 3-Chloro-4-methylaniline | 3C4MA | 95-74-9 | Riedel-de Haën |
| 3-Chloro-4-methoxyaniline | 3C4MOA | 5345-54-0 | Riedel-de Haën |
| 3-Chloro-4-fluoraniline | 3C4FA | 367-21-5 | Riedel-de Haën |
| 4-Bromoaniline | 4BrA | 106-40-1 | Riedel-de Haën |

adjusted to pH 9 . The sample was passed through the cartridge with a peristaltic pump, set to a flow-rate of $10 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$.

After washing with distilled water the cartridges were dried under vacuum for 1 min , and eluted three times with 1 ml methanol-acetonitrile ( $1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). For the subsequent derivatization the eluates were transferred to $24-\mathrm{ml}$ borosilicate glass vials and reduced in volume under a gentle stream of nitrogen at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to less than 0.5 ml (exact measurement of the volume was not necessary).

### 2.4. Derivatization

A $5-\mathrm{ml}$ volume of water was added to the extract and the solution acidified with 0.2 ml of hydriodic acid. The solution was mixed with 0.5 ml sodium nitrite in water $\left(10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{l}^{-1}\right)$ and shaken. After a reaction time of $20 \mathrm{~min}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ amidosulfonic acid in water ( $50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$ ) was added to destroy the surplus of nitrite and the mixture was vigorously shaken for 45 min . The solution was heated for 5 min in a water bath, temperature $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and afterwards cooled down in water to room temperature. The surplus of iodine was destroyed with 0.25 ml of a saturated aqueous solution of sodium sulphite. The solution was basified with 0.5 ml of a $10 \mathrm{~mol} 1^{-1}$ sodium hydroxide solution and extracted for 15 min with 2 ml of pentane. During the extraction the vials were mechanically shaken. GC-ECD or GC-MS analysis was carried out on aliquots of the extracts which had been filled in autosampler vials.

### 2.5. Gas chromatography

The GC system consisted of a gas chromatograph HP 5890 II + and an autosampler unit HP 7673 (both from Hewlett-Packard, Waldbronn, Germany), equipped with an ECD system and a split/splitless injector. Control of the equipment and data acquisition was done with the personal computer program Gynkosoft V 5.32 (Gynkotek, Germering, Germany). Carrier gas was nitrogen, which was further purified using a Megasorb reactor by Messer-Griesheim (Frankfurt, Germany). The column pressure was set to 100 kPa , the make-up-gas flow to approx. 20 ml $\min ^{-1}$ and the split ratio to $1: 120$. The temperatures of the injection block and the detector were 250 and
$300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. The injection volume was 1 or 5 $\mu \mathrm{l}$. For the separation of the analytes a (5\%-phenyl)methylpolysiloxane column, $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ I.D., $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m} d_{\mathrm{f}}$ (DB5 from J\&W, Köln, Germany) was used. The separation was started at an oven temperature of $135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20.5 min the temperature was raised using a rate of $12.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $235^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then held for another 8.5 min .

The GC-MS investigations were carried out with a VG Trio 2 in the electron impact ionization (EI) mode ( 70 eV ). The same type of capillary column as mentioned above was used. Carrier gas was helium with a column pressure of 50 kPa . The temperatures of the injection block and the transfer line were $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively. For GC-MS the following temperature program was used: $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (1 $\min$ ), $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Injection was on-column with $1 \mu \mathrm{l}$.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Derivatization

The derivatization takes place in two steps according to the reaction scheme given in Fig. 1.

The amino group is first diazotized at room temperature with nitrite in an acidic medium. In the second step, the diazo group is substituted by iodine at elevated temperatures.

Fifty-six aromatic amines were investigated with the method using hydriodic acid. The derivatives are given in Table 2. Only six of them were not derivatized at all. For the three ortho-diaminobenzene compounds it was expected that they would give no iodinated derivatives since they yield triazonium compounds under the conditions during diazotisation [39]. Two of the electron-poor dinit-


Fig. 1. Reaction scheme of the iodination of aromatic amines.

Table 2
GC and MS data for iodinated anilines

| Compound | Iodinated derivative | $t_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{min})$ <br> the derivative | Peak No. in the chromatograms | $\begin{aligned} & m / z \\ & \left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ <br> (100\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 AT | 2-Iodotoluene | 3.89 | 2 | 218 | 91 |
| 3AT | 3-Iodotoluene | 3.89 | 3 | 218 | 91 |
| 4AT | 4-Iodtoluene | 3.89 | 1 | 218 | 91 |
| 2,3DAT | n.d. | - | - | - | - |
| 2,4DAT | 2,4-Diiodotoluene | 16.13 | 4 | 344 | 344 |
| 2,6DAT | 2,6-Diiodotoluene | 16.51 | 6 | 344 | 90 |
| 3,4DAT | n.d. | - | - | - | - |
| 2 A 3 NT | 2-Iodo-3-nitrotoluene | 16.98 | 24 | 263 | 263 |
| 2 A 4 NT | 2-Iodo-4-nitrotoluene | 18.64 | 9 | 263 | 90 |
| 2A5NT | 2-Iodo-5-nitrotoluene | 17.71 | 25 | 263 | 263 |
| 2A6NT | 2-Iodo-6-nitrotoluene | 14.09 | 7 | 263 | 90 |
| 4A2NT | 4-Iodo-2-nitrotoluene | 14.59 | 5 | 263 | 90 |
| 2A4,6DNT | 2-Iodo-4,6-dinitrotoluene | 27.82 | 12 | 308 | 89 |
| 4A2,6DNT | 4-Iodo-2,6-dinitrotoluene | 26.48 | 10 | 308 | 89 |
| 2,4DA6NT | 2,4-Diiodo-6-nitrotoluene | 28.41 | 11 | 389 | 89 |
| 2,6DA4NT | 2,6-Diiodo-4-nitrotoluene | 29.62 | 8 | 389 | 389 |
| Aniline | Iodobenzene | 2.95 | 13 | 204 | 77 |
| 1,2PDA | n.d. | - | - | - | - |
| 1,3PDA | 1,3-Diiodobenzene | 9.96 | 14 | 330 | 330 |
| 1,4-PDA | 1,4-Diiodobenzene | 9.85 | 15 | 330 | 330 |
| 2NA | 1-Iodo-2-nitrobenzene | 10.76 | 16 | 249 | 249 |
| 3NA | 1-Iodo-3-nitrobenzene | 10.67 | 17 | 249 | 249 |
| 4NA | 1-Iodo-4-nitrobenzene | 10.97 | 18 | 249 | 249 |
| 2,4DNA | n.d. | - | - | - | - |
| 2,5DNA | n.d. | - | - | - | - |
| 2,6DNA | 1-Iodo-2,6-dinitrobenzene | 22.61 | 50 | 294 | 294 |
| 3,5DNA | 1-Iodo-3,5-dinitrobenzene | 26.19 | 51 | 294 | 75 |
| 2 MOA | 1-Iodo-2-methoxybenzene | 6.09 | 21 | 234 | 234 |
| 3MOA | 1-Iodo-3-methoxybenzene | 5.86 | 22 | 234 | 234 |
| 4MOA | 1-Iodo-4-methoxybenzene | 6.12 | 23 | 234 | 234 |
| 2,3DMA | 1-Iodo-2,3-dimethylbenzene | 5.94 | 26 | 232 | 232 |
| 2,4DMA | 1-Iodo-2,4-dimethylbenzene | 5.31 | 27 | 232 | 232 |
| 2,5DMA | 1-Iodo-2,5-dimethylbenzene | 5.28 | 28 | 232 | 232 |
| 2,6DMA | 1-Iodo-2,6-dimethylbenzene | 5.46 | 29 | 232 | 232 |
| 3,4DMA | 1-Iodo-3,4-dimethylbenzene | 5.88 | 30 | 232 | 232 |
| 3,5DMA | 1-Iodo-3,5-dimethylbenzene | 5.25 | 31 | 232 | 232 |
| DPA | n.d. | - | - |  |  |
| 1 NPA | 1-Iodonaphthalin | 19.81 | 33 | 254 | 127 |
| 2NPA | 2-Iodonaphthalin | 19.40 | 34 | 254 | 127 |
| 2ABP | 2-Iodobiphenyl | 23.83 | 35 | 280 | 280 |
| 4ABP | 4-Iodobiphenyl | 27.01 | 36 | 280 | 280 |
| Benzidine | 4.4'-Diiodobiphenyl | 34.87 | 52 | 406 | 406 |
| 4 IPA | 4-Isopropyl-1-iodobenzene | 6.36 | 37 | 246 | 104 |
| 2,6DEA | 2,6-Diethyl-1-iodobenzene | 9.60 | 38 | 260 | 260 |
| 2E6MA | 2-Ethyl-1-iodo-6-methylbenzene | 7.20 | 39 | 246 | 246 |
| 4CNMA | 4-Chloro-1-iodobenzene | 4.65 | 40 | 238 | 111 |
| 2 CA | 2-Chloro-1-iodobenzene | 5.03 | 41 | 238 | 238 |
| 3CA | 3-Chloro-1-iodobenzene | 4.64 | 42 | 238 | 238 |
| 4 CA | 4-Chloro-1-iodobenzene | 4.64 | 43 | 238 | 238 |
| 3,4DCA | 3,4-Dichloro-1-iodobenzene | 8.64 | 44 | 272 | 145 |
| 3 C 4 MA | 3-Chloro-1-iodo-4-methylbenzene | 6.75 | 45 | 252 | 252 |
| 4C2MA | 4-Chloro-1-iodo-2-methylbenzene | 6.74 | 46 | 252 | 252 |
| 3 C 4 MOA | 3-Chloro-1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene | 12.98 | 47 | 268 | 268 |
| 3C4FA | 3-Chloro-4-fluoro-1-iodobenzene | 4.54 | 48 | 256 | 256 |
| 4 BrA | 4-Bromo-1-iodobenzene | 6.31 | 49 | 282 | 282 |

n.d. $=$ No derivatives detectable with GC-ECD or GC-MS.
roanilines did not react. For polynitroanilines it is well known that they do not readily give diazonium salts [40]. Surprisingly, two other dinitroanilines yield the diazonium salt and are subsequently iodinated. One of the latter two is 2,6-dinitroaniline which should reduce the basicity of the amino group more efficiently than 2,5 -dinitroaniline which does not react. The reason for this behavior is still unknown.

### 3.2. Choice of the acid

In a previously published method for the iodination of aminotoluenes [27] we used hydrochloric acid and sodium nitrite to generate nitrous acid as the diazotisation agent. A disadvantage of this method was the formation of chlorinated by-products because of the competition between chloride ions and iodide ions in the substitution reaction. With diamino aromatics the partly chlorinated derivatives were sometimes the main products. To study the effect of the acid used, we compared hydrochloric, hydrobromic, and hydriodic acid and as an acid with a non-nucleophilic corresponding base orthophosphoric acid in the derivatization of six diamino aromatic compounds. In Table 3 the main products with the use of each acid are given.

When the solutions were acidified with hydrobromic acid, derivatization yielded several products with such a low efficiency that identification with GC-MS was not possible except in the case of 1,4PDA which was derivatized to 1 -iodo-4-bromobenzene. With orthophosphoric acid, iodination was not completed or formation of a iodoindazole
occurred. Only hydriodic acid always gave the diiodo derivatives without formation of side products. Since the sensitivity of the method was enhanced in the presence of hydriodic acid compared to the other acids it was chosen for all further experiments. Another advantage was the formation of iodine in the unstabilized acid, which made subsequent addition of the iodination solution (iodine in potassium iodide solution) obsolete.

### 3.3. GC separation and $G C-M S$ data

The retention times of the derivatives with GCECD and the temperature programme mentioned above together with MS data are given in Table 2.

The mass spectra of the derivatives are easy to interpret. For 32 of the 49 derivatives the $\mathrm{M}^{+}$peak is equivalent to the base peak. For 12 derivatives, mainly of amino and aminonitro compounds, the base peak is obtained for the toluene or benzene fragment. In the other cases the base peak represents the radical cation after cleavage of a iodo substituent. Examples for the mass spectra of a mono- and a diiodo derivative are given in Fig. 2.

The main fragments for 1-iodo-2,5-dimethylbenzene besides the $\mathrm{M}^{+}$peak $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=232)$ are obtained at $m / z=105$ (after cleavage of the iodo substituent) and $m / z=75$ (after subsequent cleavage of the two methyl groups). For 1,3-diiodobenzene the main fragments besides the $\mathrm{M}^{+}$peak $(m / z=330)$ are obtained at $m / z=203$ (cleavage of the first iodo substituent) and $m / z=76$ (cleavage of the second iodo substituent).

Thirty-three of the derivatives could be separated

Table 3
Main products of iodination with the use of different acids

| Compound | Main products with the use of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HCl | HBr | HI | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,3PDA | 1,3-Diiodobenzene | - | 1,3-Diiodobenzene | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4PDA | Iodo-chlorobenzene | 1-Iodo-4-bromobenzene | 1,4-Diiodobenzene | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4DAT | 4-Iodo-1H-indazole | - | 2,4-Diiodotoluene | 4-Iodo-1H-indazole |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,6DAT | 6-Iodo-1H-indazole | - | 2,6-Diiodotoluene | 6-Iodo-1H-indazole |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4DA6NT | Iodo-chloro-6-nitrotoluene | - | 2,4-Diiodo-6-nitrotoluene | n.i. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,6DA4NT | 2,6-Diiodo-4-nitrotoluene | - | 2,6-Diiodo-4-nitrotoluene | 2-Iodo-4-nitro-6-aminotoluene |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]

Fig. 2. Mass spectra for a mono and a diiodo derivative. (a) 1-Iodo-2,5-dimethylbenzene, (b) 1,3-diiodobenzene.
in a single chromatographic run in about 35 min on a standard DB5 column, which was not specially deactivated (Fig. 3). The major difficulty is the separation of positional isomers. Some of them could not be separated at all, neither with the use of other columns of different polarity and film thickness nor with lower temperatures (e.g., the three
iodotoluenes). To our knowledge, no separation of iodotoluene isomers on capillary GC columns has been published yet, therefore this remains a problem still to be solved.

The effect of the iodination on the peak shape is shown in Fig. 4 with the example of 3,4-dichloroaniline. Although this is not a very polar


Fig. 3. GC separation of 33 iodinated derivatives of aromatic amines after enrichment on HR-P phase. Detection: ECD. Peak numbers refer to Table 2. Concentration of analytes between 10 and $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$, prior to the SPE.


Fig. 4. Comparison of GC-ECD chromatograms of 3,4-dichloroaniline (a) and 3,4-dichloro-1-iodobenzene (b).
aromatic amine, it shows strong peak tailing on a standard column (skewness: 2.27). In contrast, the peak for the derivative is nearly symmetric (skewness: 1.24). In both experiments the same concentration of $3,4-$ DCA was used. The introduction of iodine increases the ECD response by a factor of about 150. This shows the much greater electron affinity of iodinated aromatic compounds compared to other haloaromatic compounds [37].

### 3.4. Extraction with pentane

In Table 4 the average peak areas for the derivatives in three parallel extractions are given (measured by GC-ECD). The relative standard deviations (R.S.D.s) are $<10 \%$ for all derivatives, for 37 of the 49 derivatives $<6 \%$. These values were obtained although all transfer steps with pipettes were done manually, which is sometimes difficult to do reproducible when handling pentane. With automation it should be possible to improve the R.S.D.s further.

Extraction rates were determined with three consecutive extractions of the same solution, all done in triplicate. After the third extraction step the ex-
traction rate was set to $100 \%$. This procedure overestimates only extraction rates of derivatives with very low extraction efficiencies because in these cases the derivatives are not extracted completely after the third extraction.

For the derivatives studied here, extraction rates were always $>90 \%$ except for 1 -iodo-2,6-dinitroaniline ( $57 \%$ ). If an additional halogen substituent was present in the aniline, extraction rates reached $99 \%$ after one extraction. After the second extraction step for all analytes $\geq 99 \%$ were extracted, again with the exception of 1-iodo-2,6-dinitroaniline ( $85 \%$ ). R.S.D.s for the extraction rates were general$1 \mathrm{y} \leq 3 \%$.

### 3.5. Calibration

Calibration was done for the whole analytical procedure, including enrichment with SPE, iodination, extraction of the derivatives with pentane and measurement with GC-ECD. For the calibration, three mixtures of reference substances were prepared because not all of the derivatives could be separated in one chromatographic run. The first mixture comprised 33 aromatic amines, 13 other substances were divided in two more mixtures. All analytes which previously had been detected in real samples were part of reference mixture 1 . The concentration of analytes in the mixtures was between 0.4 and $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ $\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$. One hundred ml samples of drinking water, which were spiked with different volumes (50, 250, $500,750,1000 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) of the reference mixtures, were adjusted to pH 9 with $0.1 \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ sodium hydroxide solution and extracted with the HR-P phase. All spiking experiments were done in duplicate. Table 5 gives the calibration data for 46 analytes. R.S.D.s were between 2.6 and $12 \%$, for most compounds $\leq 8 \%$. Considering that the whole analytical procedure is enclosed, these values are rather good. Limits of quantitation (LOQs) were calculated according to Ref. [41] and depend on the method standard deviation. The LOQs were between 0.5 and $7.8 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{1}{ }^{-1}$, referring to the enrichment of 100 ml sample volume. The LOQs may still be lowered via the enrichment of larger sample volumes, higher injection volumes or different injection techniques (e.g., on-column or large-volume injection).

Table 4
Extraction rates of iodinated anilines in pentane

| Derivative of | Average peak area ( mV min) after first extraction ( $n=3$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.S.D. } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | Extraction rate (\%) after first extraction ( $n=3$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.S.D. } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2AT | 14.3 | 3 | 97 | 0.9 |
| 3AT | 4.99 | 7 | 92 | 3.1 |
| 4AT | 10.6 | 4 | 96 | 1.1 |
| 2,4DAT | 10.4 | 8 | 94 | 2.1 |
| 2,6DAT | 8.16 | 7 | 96 | 0.5 |
| 2A3NT | 50.6 | 4 | 98 | 0.8 |
| 2 A 4 NT | 64.7 | 3 | 96 | 1.6 |
| 2A5NT | 97.2 | 3 | 98 | 0.2 |
| 2A6NT | 82.4 | 5 | 98 | 0.3 |
| 4 A 2 NT | 64.9 | 2 | 96 | 1.4 |
| 2A4,6DNT | 27.5 | 6 | 91 | 1.8 |
| 4A2,6DNT | 37.0 | 6 | 95 | 0.4 |
| 2,4DA6NT | 30.5 | 5 | 94 | 2.1 |
| 2,6DA4NT | 32.3 | 7 | 94 | 0.8 |
| Aniline | 50.7 | 1 | 94 | 2.1 |
| 1,3PDA | 6.67 | 7 | 95 | 1.1 |
| 1,4PDA | 7.15 | 7 | 96 | 3.0 |
| 2NA | 47.4 | 5 | 97 | 0.7 |
| 3NA | 81.9 | 3 | 98 | 0.4 |
| 4NA | 67.0 | 2 | 97 | 0.8 |
| 2,6DNA | 5.00 | 1 | 57 | 0.6 |
| 3,5DNA | 44.4 | 3 | 94 | 0.6 |
| 2MOA | 12.2 | 4 | 96 | 0.5 |
| 3MOA | 32.2 | 1 | 97 | 1.0 |
| 4 MOA | 20.3 | 8 | 97 | 0.3 |
| 2,3DMA | 14.4 | 2 | 93 | 1.8 |
| 2,4DMA | 19.0 | 2 | 91 | 1.1 |
| 2,5DMA | 10.5 | 2 | 92 | 2.0 |
| 2,6DMA | 12.9 | 5 | 96 | 1.9 |
| 3,4DMA | 10.0 | 3 | 93 | 1.6 |
| 3,5DMA | 8.10 | 4 | 94 | 1.6 |
| 1 NPA | 30.3 | 5 | 90 | 1.7 |
| 2NPA | 34.8 | 5 | 91 | 1.7 |
| 2ABP | 36.6 | 7 | 98 | 0.1 |
| 4ABP | 65.4 | 5 | 97 | 2.2 |
| Benzidin | 72.1 | 5 | 90 | 1.5 |
| 4 IPA | 24.7 | 4 | 91 | 1.2 |
| 2,6DEA | 24.3 | 4 | 91 | 1.1 |
| 2E6MA | 24.4 | 5 | 92 | 0.5 |
| 4CNMA | 6.78 | 3 | 96 | 0.6 |
| 2 CA | 65.4 | 5 | 99 | 0.3 |
| 3 CA | 72.0 | 7 | 99 | $<0.1$ |
| 4 CA | 64.1 | 7 | 99 | 0.2 |
| 3,4DCA | 44.8 | 4 | 99 | 0.2 |
| 3 C 4 MA | 34.8 | 3 | 99 | 0.2 |
| 4C2MA | 71.1 | 2 | 99 | 0.1 |
| 3 C 4 MOA | 46.9 | 3 | 99 | 0.3 |
| 3 C 4 FA | 69.4 | 2 | 99 | 0.1 |
| 4 BrA | 55.1 | 3 | 99 | 1.0 |

Table 5
Calibration data and limits of quantitation (LOQs) for 46 aromatic amines

| Derivative of | Intercept (mV min) | Slope $\left[(\mathrm{mV} \min ) /\left(\mu \mathrm{g} 1^{-1}\right)\right]$ | $\begin{aligned} & s_{\mathrm{x}} \\ & \left(\mu \mathrm{~g} 1^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.S.D. } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\left(\mu \mathrm{g} 1^{-1}\right)}{\mathrm{LOQ}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 AT | 0.010 | 0.031 | 0.843 | 8.6 | 2.8 |
| 3AT | -0.035 | 0.033 | 0.937 | 11 | 3.3 |
| 4AT | -0.019 | 0.032 | 0.744 | 7.5 | 2.4 |
| 2,4DAT | -0.022 | 0.019 | 0.661 | 7.0 | 2.2 |
| 2,6DAT | -0.007 | 0.018 | 0.975 | 9.5 | 3.2 |
| 2A3NT | 0.009 | 0.011 | 0.616 | 12 | 2.0 |
| 2 A 4 NT | 0.011 | 0.061 | 0.326 | 3.2 | 1.1 |
| 2A5NT | 0.017 | 0.060 | 0.230 | 4.5 | 0.75 |
| 2A6NT | 0.006 | 0.068 | 0.194 | 3.6 | 0.63 |
| 4A2NT | 0.011 | 0.047 | 0.254 | 5.1 | 0.83 |
| 2A4,6DNT | -0.007 | 0.034 | 0.182 | 3.6 | 0.59 |
| 4A2,6DNT | -0.001 | 0.027 | 0.123 | 2.4 | 0.40 |
| 2,4DA6NT | 0.001 | 0.030 | 0.423 | 8.3 | 1.4 |
| 2,6DA4NT | 0.012 | 0.039 | 0.500 | 9.1 | 1.6 |
| Aniline | -0.011 | 0.052 | 0.420 | 8.4 | 1.4 |
| 1,3PDA | -0.015 | 0.004 | 2.38 | 9.3 | 7.8 |
| 2NA | 0.010 | 0.067 | 0.362 | 7.1 | 1.2 |
| 3NA | 0.008 | 0.057 | 0.208 | 4.1 | 0.68 |
| 4NA | 0.013 | 0.088 | 0.142 | 2.8 | 0.46 |
| 2,6DNA | -0.013 | 0.009 | 1.52 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| 3,5DNA | -0.016 | 0.053 | 0.131 | 2.7 | 0.43 |
| 2MOA | -0.029 | 0.052 | 0.491 | 6.3 | 1.7 |
| 3MOA | -0.015 | 0.046 | 0.250 | 6.5 | 0.87 |
| 4MOA | -0.023 | 0.037 | 1.09 | 11 | 3.6 |
| 2,3DMA | 0.021 | 0.035 | 1.09 | 11 | 3.6 |
| 2,4DMA | -0.055 | 0.052 | 0.863 | 11 | 3.0 |
| 2,5DMA | 0.018 | 0.030 | 1.21 | 12 | 4.0 |
| 2,6DMA | 0.007 | 0.029 | 0.946 | 9.5 | 3.1 |
| 3,4DMA | -0.004 | 0.011 | 0.955 | 9.3 | 3.1 |
| 3,5DMA | -0.005 | 0.015 | 0.750 | 7.5 | 2.5 |
| 1 NPA | 0.007 | 0.026 | 0.451 | 4.0 | 1.5 |
| 2NPA | -0.003 | 0.029 | 0.348 | 3.4 | 1.1 |
| 2ABP | -0.001 | 0.023 | 0.148 | 2.9 | 0.49 |
| 4ABP | -0.007 | 0.037 | 0.388 | 7.8 | 1.3 |
| Benzidine | -0.002 | 0.031 | 0.211 | 3.8 | 0.69 |
| 4IPA | -0.017 | 0.031 | 0.653 | 6.9 | 2.1 |
| 2,6DEA | 0.003 | 0.027 | 0.955 | 9.4 | 3.1 |
| 2E6MA | 0.005 | 0.027 | 0.920 | 8.9 | 3.0 |
| 4CNMA | 0.002 | 0.003 | 1.09 | 4.6 | 3.6 |
| 2 CA | -0.050 | 0.082 | 0.504 | 12 | 1.8 |
| 3CA | 0.003 | 0.064 | 0.152 | 6.4 | 0.50 |
| 3,4DCA | 0.008 | 0.048 | 0.341 | 6.6 | 1.1 |
| 3C4MA | 0.003 | 0.065 | 0.157 | 7.1 | 0.51 |
| 4C2MA | -0.004 | 0.060 | 0.364 | 7.2 | 1.2 |
| 3 C 4 MOA | -0.008 | 0.070 | 0.230 | 4.6 | 0.75 |
| 4 BrA | -0.022 | 0.074 | 0.338 | 8.7 | 1.2 |

$s_{\mathrm{x}}=$ Method standard deviation, as defined in Ref. [41].

### 3.6. Application to water samples

The method was first tested with water samples
from the former ammunition plant in Stadtallendorf/ Hessen, which were previously studied in our group [26,27]. In Fig. 5 a typical GC-ECD chromatogram


Fig. 5. GC-ECD chromatogram of a ground water sample from Stadtallendorf. Peak numbers refer to Table 2.
of a ground water sample is shown. The sample contained mainly aminonitrotoluenes from the microbial reduction of nitrotoluene explosives. Besides, aniline and 3,5 -dinitroaniline were found in concentrations $<1 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{l}^{-1}$. At $t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.34 \mathrm{~min} 2,6$-dinitrotoluene is eluted. Table 6 summarizes the concentrations of aromatic amines in two representative

Table 6 Aromatic amines in ground water samples from Stadtallendorf

| Compound | Concentration in sample $\left(\mu \mathrm{g} 1^{-1}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | ASB 1 | ASB 2 |
| Aniline | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| 2A6NT | 0.63 | 0.37 |
| 4A2NT | 4.3 | 0.35 |
| 2A3NT | 5.7 | 2.8 |
| 2A5NT | 0.23 | 0.26 |
| 2A4NT | 0.25 | n.d. |
| 3,5DNA | 0.60 | 0.86 |
| 4A2,6DNT | $18^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $22^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 2A4,6DNT | 2.6 | 3.2 |
| 2,4DA6NT | 0.22 | 0.29 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Concentration exceeding the calibration range (and extrapolated). n.d. $=$ Not detectable.
ground water samples. In addition to ammunition wastewater, samples from an industrial sewage plant, from a former gas plant and leachate water from a former landfill were investigated. Although the matrix of the samples was rather complex the method gave reliable results. The investigation of these samples with two different derivatization methods will be described in detail in a later paper.

## 4. Conclusions

Iodination of aromatic amines is generally applicable for the derivatization of a wide range of aromatic amines. The derivatives can be separated with GC and detected sensitively by ECD. The investigation of real samples revealed the potential of the method in environmental analysis. Further investigations will concentrate on the use of atomic emission detection for a more selective detection and the application to an even wider range of water samples.
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[^0]:    *Corresponding author.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Major by-product, main product not identified.
    n.i. $=$ Not investigated.

    - No derivatives observed with GC-ECD.

